	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 09/193,538	09/193,538	BILLING-MEDEL ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jehanne E Souaya	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application: Ro	CE filed
(1) <u>Jehanne E Souaya</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lisa Mueller</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 May 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated: ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: none		
Prior art documents discussed: Reference cited in Response: Cancer Principles & Practices of 0	Oncology, Lippincott Williams & V	Vilkins, page 2537
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writi	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Jehanne Duaga	Manlinestic Description	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	l/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that a faxed copy of the reference had not been received after the previous interview. The examiner further indicated that she had not been able to obtain a copy of the reference through the Office because a year or an edition had not been indicated in the response (there are 6 editions for this reference) and therefore it was not clear which edition of the reference the response was discussing. The examiner again indicated that she needed a copy of the reference as the case needed to be worked on and the reference was required for consideration in response to applicant's traversal of the previous office action. Applicant's representative indicated that she would attempt to obtain a copy..

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/193,538	BILLING-MEDEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jehanne E Souaya	1634	
All Participants:	Status of Application: RC	E filed	
(1) <u>Jehanne E Souaya</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Lisa Mueller</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 14 April 2003	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed: none			
Prior art documents discussed: Reference cited in Response: Cancer Principles & Practices of O	ncology, Lippincott Williams & Wi	ilkins, page 2537	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The examiner requested a copy of the reference cited in the resp Applicant's representative agreed to fax a copy of the reference.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writtened accord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)	